arXiv:1611.10059v1 [cs.CG] 30 Nov 2016

An Efficient Algorithm for Vertex Enumeration of Two-Dimensional Projection of Polytopes

Amit Gurung* and Rajarshi Ray

Department of Computer Science & Engineering, National Institute of Technology Meghalaya, Shillong - 793003, India
Email: amitgurung@nitm.ac.in, rajarshi.ray@nitm.ac.in

Abstract

An efficient algorithm to enumerate the vertices of a two-dimensional (2D) projection of a polytope, is presented in this paper. The proposed algorithm uses the support function of the polytope to be projected and enumerated for vertices. The complexity of our algorithm is linear in the number of vertices of the projected polytope and we show empirically that the performance is significantly better in comparison to some known efficient algorithms of projection and enumeration.

Keywords: Vertex Enumeration, Projection, Support Function,

1. Introduction

A convex polytope has two common representations, the V-representation and the H-representation[1]. In the V-representation, a polytope is represented by the set of its corner points or vertices. In the H-representation, a polytope is represented as an intersection of finitely many half-spaces given as linear inequalities (i.e. $Ax \leq b$). The vertex enumeration problem is to determine the set of all vertices of a polytope given in the H-representation. In this paper, we address the problem of enumerating the vertices of a two-dimensional projection of a H-represented polytope. A motivation to address this problem is that

*Corresponding author
Email address: amitgurung@nitm.ac.in (Amit Gurung* and Rajarshi Ray)

applications using high dimensional polytopes (in H-representation) may call for its visualization. In such a case, the vertices of the projection of the polytope on two or three dimensions are to be enumerated. This problem may be interesting for applications beyond just visualization, apart from its theoretical relevance.

The stated vertex enumeration problem has two straightforward solutions. The first is to project the H-represented polytope (to be denoted as H-polytope) using projection algorithms (Fourier-Motzkin elimination[2], Equality Set Projection (ESP)[3] etc.) followed by enumerating the vertices of the projection using vertex enumeration algorithms (LRS[4], Primal-Dual method[5] etc.). The time complexity of this approach is the sum of the complexity of projection (best known to us is $O(n_f)$, where n_f is the number of constraints in the projected polytope [3]) and enumeration (exponential in the dimension of the projected polytope). The second solution is to first enumerate the polytope vertices and then project on the desired dimensions. The complexity of this approach is the sum of the complexity of vertex enumeration (exponential in the dimension of the polytope) and the complexity of the projection operation that sets all the dimensions other than the projection dimensions to 0, for every enumerated vertex (linear in the number of vertices of the polytope). Overall, the first solution is superior since it is exponential on the dimension of the projected polytope unlike the second, which is exponential on the dimension of the polytope. In this paper, we propose an algorithm which does not explicitly compute the projection (like in the first solution) nor does it enumerate all vertices (like in the second solution). The complexity of our proposed algorithm is linear in the number of vertices of the projected two-dimensional polytope. Since the number of vertices can be exponential in the dimension of the polytope, the complexity of our algorithm is similar to the complexity of the first solution. However, we show empirically that the performance of the proposed algorithm is significantly better in comparison to the first solution of projection followed by enumeration using the algorithms best known to us. In addition, our algorithm generates vertices in a sorted counter-clockwise order. It works on general polytopes unlike vertex enumeration algorithms like

[5] that requires the origin at the interior of the polytope. The implementation of the algorithm, the benchmark polytopes for the experiments and instructions for repeatability evaluation can be found in `https://bitbucket.org/rajgurung777/vertexenumerationbyprojectionprojects`.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the preliminaries that forms the base of the proposed algorithm. Section 3 discusses the algorithm in detail. In Section 4, we present an empirical study of the performance with the existing competitive algorithms. We conclude in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

Our algorithm is based on the use of support functions as a means of representing compact convex sets.

Definition 1. *[6] Given a nonempty compact convex set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the* support function *of $\mathcal{X}$ is a function $sup_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as:*

$$sup_{\mathcal{X}}(v) = max\{v \cdot x \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\} \tag{1}$$

We use the symbol ρ_i to denote the $sup_{\mathcal{X}}(v_i)$ for a vector v_i and a vector $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $v_i \cdot x = \rho_i$ is called the *support vector*, denoted as $\mathcal{SV}(\rho_i)$. The support function of a compact convex set $\mathcal{X}$ uniquely represents the set $\mathcal{X}$ by the following relation.

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | v \cdot x \leq sup_{\mathcal{X}}(v) \ for\, all\, v \in S^{n-1}\} \tag{2}$$

where S^{n-1} is a unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and thus $v \in S^{n-1}$ is a unit vector.

The pairs (v_i, ρ_i) denote the support function samples of any convex set. In $\mathbb{R}^2$, there exists a bijection from the set of angles, say in degrees, $\Theta = \{0, 360\}$ to the set of unit vectors in S^1. The angle $\theta \in \Theta$ gives a unique orientation of the unit vector, and the bijection is $v = (cos\theta, sin\theta)$. Therefore, the support function of convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ can also be represented in the domain of Θ. Note that similar transformation of domain is also defined for convex sets in higher



(a) 8 facet Polygon (b) Square (c) Diamond

(d) Polygon: (Θ_i, ρ_i) (e) Square: (Θ_i, ρ_i) (f) Diamond: (Θ_i, ρ_i)

Figure 1: Θ_i vs. ρ_i plots of polytopes (a), (b) and (c) are shown in (d), (e) and (f) respectively.

dimensions. The plot of Θ_i versus ρ_i of a polytope in $\mathbb{R}^2$, in particular, gives a continuous collection of sinusoids, as shown in Figure 1. We now present a lemma giving a relation between the vertices and sides of a 2D-polytope and its support function samples (Θ_i, ρ_i).

Lemma 1. *[6] The support vector $\mathcal{SV}(\rho_i)$ for any Θ_i corresponding to a sinusoid in the plot of support function samples, is a unique vertex of the polytope. The support vector $\mathcal{SV}(\rho_i)$ for the Θ_i at a junction of two sinusoids, is a vector of some side of the polytope.*

3. Vertex Enumeration using Support Functions

Our algorithm is based on two key observations. First observation is that the support vectors $\mathcal{SV}(\rho_i)$ corresponding to the sinusoids gives the vertices of the polytope, using lemma 1. Moreover, every vertex of the polytope corresponds to some sinusoid. Therefore, the vertex enumeration problem of 2D-polytopes



(a) (Θ_i, ρ_i) representation (b) ESP plot in MatLab (c) SFA plot with plotutils

Figure 2: (a) Support function samples (Θ_i, ρ_i) of a Square and indicating the Θ_i ranges for different vertex as (0-90), (90-180), (180-270) and (270-360) degrees respectively, (b) Output of a projected polytope using the ESP algorithm on the mit benchmark and (c) Output of our vertex enumeration algorithm on the mit benchmark.

reduces to finding the support vectors for every sinusoid. The second observation is that for a polytope $\mathcal{P}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we can obtain the support function samples of the projection of $\mathcal{P}$ in any two desired dimensions, say (i,j), by sampling its support functions in $v \in S^{n-1}$ such that $v_k = 0$ for all $k \neq i, j$. In this way, we can obtain the support function samples (Θ_i,ρ_i) of a 2D projection of a polytope $\mathcal{P}$ without explicit projection.

3.1. Vertex Enumeration Algorithm

We denote the Θ_i at a junction of two sinusoids as a *critical point*. In Fig. 2a, the points $\Theta = 90°$, $180°$ and $270°$ are the critical points. Algorithm 1 identifies all the critical points, thereby enumerating the vertices of the projection using a variant of binary search procedure. In particular, the algorithm begins by computing the support function ρ_i for $\Theta_i = 0°$ and obtain its corresponding vertex $p1$ in line 3. Computing the support function of a convex polytope is equivalent to solving a linear program (LP). The computed vertex is added to the data-structure $\mathcal{V}$. By employing a variant of binary search procedure in line 5, the algorithm iterates to find all critical points and its corresponding immediate vertex (i.e., $NextVertex$) in the domain $\Theta = [0, 360]°$. The binary search procedure returns the immediate next critical point and its immediate vertex

with respect to the start point (the argument LB). The critical point becomes the new start point (LB) in the next iteration. The new vertex $NextVertex$ is added to the data-structure $\mathcal{V}$. When the start point (LB) is equal to the returned critical point, then the corresponding vertex of LB is equal to the returned $NextVertex$, the algorithm terminate. The order of insertion of these vertices is sorted in a counter-clockwise order, because the process of determining critical points progresses from $\Theta = 0°$ to $360°$.

Algorithm 1 Vertex Enumeration of a $2D$ projection of a H-polytope.

Input arguments: H-polytope $\mathcal{P}$ in $A.x \leq b$, projecting dimensions d_1 and d_2

Output: Enumerated vertices of the projection in $\mathcal{V}$.

1: **procedure** VERTEX-ENUMERATE($\mathcal{P}$, d_1, d_2)
2: $\Theta_i = LB = 0°$; $UB = 360°$; $done = false$
3: $\rho_i \leftarrow sup_{\mathcal{P}}(\Theta_i)$; $p_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{SV}(\rho_i)$; Insert p_1 in $\mathcal{V}$ ▷ Get the first vertex in $\mathcal{V}$
4: **repeat**
5: $LB = BinSearch(LB, UB, p_1, NextVertex)$
6: **if** $p_1 = NextVertex$ **then** $done = true$
7: $p_1 \leftarrow NextVertex$, insert $NextVertex$ in $\mathcal{V}$
8: **until** *done*

3.2. Procedure Binary Search

Given the bounds LB, UB (lower, upper) and a vertex $SearchVertex$, the goal of the binary search procedure in Algorithm 2 is to return an immediate critical point that lie towards the right of LB. Each time the search domain $[LB, UB]$ is reduced by half until it converges to the critical point. To avoid numerical inaccuracy, we search a critical point until the search interval size goes less than a tolerance ϵ, which can be assigned as an input to the algorithm. The algorithm assumes that there are no critical points in an interval of size less than or equal to $\epsilon°$. The procedure also returns the $NextVertex$ that denotes the immediate vertex corresponding to the critical point. The time complexity of binary search is $O(\log n)$ where n is the distance between the two critical

Algorithm 2 Procedure to aid the proposed vertex enumeration algorithm

Input argument: We set a desired value to the error tolerance parameter ϵ

Output: Returns the critical point and the immediate vertex $NextVertex$.

1: **procedure** BinSearch($LB, UB, SearchVertex, NextVertex$)
2: $previousMid \leftarrow 0.0$
3: **while** $LB \leq UB$ **do**
4: $mid \leftarrow (LB + UB) \div 2$
5: $\rho_{mid} \leftarrow sup_{\mathcal{P}}(mid)$; $px \leftarrow \mathcal{SV}(\rho_{mid})$;
6: **if** $(px \neq SearchVertex)$ **then** ▷ mid is on right side of critical point
7: $UB \leftarrow mid$, $NextVertex \leftarrow px$
8: **else if** $px = SearchVertex$ **then** ▷ mid is on left side of critical
9: $LB \leftarrow mid$
10: **if** $|(mid - previousMid)| < \epsilon$ **then**
11: **return** mid
12: $previousMid \leftarrow mid$

points (or vertices) denoted by a range $[\Theta_1, \Theta_2] \in \mathbb{R}$. If we consider $\mathcal{V}$, the number of vertices in the projecting plane, then the first vertex is evaluated at $\Theta = 0^\circ$ which is $O(1)$ and the remaining $\mathcal{V} - 1$ vertices (up to $\Theta = 360^\circ$) are determined using the binary search procedure. Thus, the time complexity of the algorithm is $O((\mathcal{V}-1)\log n) \simeq O(\mathcal{V}\log n)$. The search domain $n = (\theta_2 - \theta_1)$ is 360° at maximum, so the complexity is linear on the number of vertices of the projected polytope, $O(\mathcal{V})$.

4. Experiments

We conducted the performance experiments on Intel i7-4770, 3.40GHz processor with 8GB RAM. We used the benchmarks reported in (`http://cgm.cs.mcgill.ca/~avis/C/lrslib/archive/`) [7]. Figure 3 reports the performance of our proposed support-function-based algorithm (referred as SFA) with $\epsilon = 0.5$, in comparison to vertex enumeration algorithm LRS[4] and projection

algorithm ESP[3]. Figure 2c and Figure 2b shows the 2D projected polytope of a benchmark using our algorithm and using the ESP algorithm respectively, illustrating correctness of our algorithm. We see that the number of vertices in

Problem	#Cons	#Dim	SFA		LRS		ESP
			Time (ms)	#V	Time (ms)	#V	Time (ms)
in1	34	4	1.0	9	3.0	31	4
in2	16	5	0.0	4	3.0	18	4.7
in3	13	6	0.0	4	0.0	8	7.9
in4	12	7	0.0	6	3.0	54	10.9
in5	14	9	0.0	4	6.0	89	37.5
in6	23	10	0.0	5	3.6	332	Failed
in7	20	10	3.0	5	108.0	1188	11.4
Metric40-11	40	10	0.0	4	352.0	32	9.1
Metric80-16	80	15	1.0	4	19226.0	16	11.9
diamond	4	2	0.0	4	0.0	4	8.3
trunc10	112	10	3.0	9	3381.0	290	21.6
trunc7	58	7	2.0	9	110.0	140	14.3
tsp5	25	10	0.0	4	8.0	12	8.5
cp4	16	6	0.0	4	5.0	8	7.6
mp6	80	15	1.0	4	715020.0	554	11.5
cp5	56	10	1.0	4	3370.0	16	9.5
mit708-9	708	8	28.0	5	795689.0	4862	105.7
mit	729	8	29.0	5	935425.0	4862	83.8
Mit31-20	31	19	2.0	5	20395.0	18553	14.5
mit288-281	288	280	423.0	3	> 24 hrs		8460.61
perm4	15	4	0.0	6	3.0	24	3.5
perm5	31	5	0.0	6	10.0	120	15.1
perm10	1023	10	26.0	6	4263875.0	3628800	784.7
bv4	27	20	0.0	3	22.0	24	Failed
bv5	39	30	0.0	3	811.0	120	Failed
bv6	53	42	0.0	3	104648.0	720	Failed
fq48-19	48	18	0.0	3	601059.0	119184	13
cross4	16	4	0.0	4	2.0	8	3.6

Performance Ranking
1st
2nd
3rd
Failed

Figure 3: Performance comparison of the proposed algorithm SFA with LRS and ESP. #Cons: number of constraints, #Dim: dimension of the polytope, #V: number of vertices and ms: Time in millisecond.

a 2D projection of a polytope is significantly less as compared to the vertices for the polytope. For instance, the polytope **perm10** have 3628800 vertices, whereas a 2D projection has only 6 vertices. Our algorithm took only 26 milliseconds to enumerate all the vertices of a 2D projection whereas LRS took more that one hour for the enumeration and the ESP took 784.7 milliseconds to just perform the projection on the same polytope on the same dimensions. Experiments shows a better performance of SFA on all benchmarks as compared

to ESP and LRS.

5. Conclusion

We propose an efficient vertex enumeration algorithm of a two-dimensional projection of H-polytope. The proposed algorithm performs better than the existing approaches of enumerating vertices of a projection. The generated vertices are sorted in a counter-clockwise order and works for any H-polytope.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institute of Technology Meghalaya, India and by the DST-SERB, GoI under project grant No. YSS/2014/000623.

References

References

[1] G. M. Ziegler, Lectures on polytopes, Vol. 152, Springer Science & Business Media, 1995.

[2] A. Schrijver, Theory of linear and integer programming, John Wiley & Sons, 1998.

[3] C. Jones, E. C. Kerrigan, J. Maciejowski, Equality set projection: A new algorithm for the projection of polytopes in halfspace representation, Tech. rep., Cambridge University Engineering Dept (2004).

[4] D. Avis, lrs: A revised implementation of the reverse search vertex enumeration algorithm (1998).

[5] D. Bremner, K. Fukuda, A. Marzetta, Primal-dual methods for vertex and facet enumeration, Discrete & Computational Geometry 20 (1998) 333–357.

[6] P. K. Ghosh, K. V. Kumar, Support function representation of convex bodies, its application in geometric computing, and some related representations, Computer Vision and Image Understanding 72 (3) (1998) 379–403.

[7] D. Avis, Computational experience with the reverse search vertex enumeration algorithm, Optimization methods and software 10 (2) (1998) 107–124.